## Summary of Far North District Council (FNDC) 2017-18 dust mitigation programme approved works as a least cost maintenance activity

In October the Agency agreed with FNDC that it could utilise the headroom available within its current 3 year NLTP maintenance Activity Class allocation to undertake a seal extension on Ngapitio and Pipiwai Roads as set out in their business case (approximately \$1.5 m). The sections to be sealed front residences on High HCV routes.

These sites meet the high dust risk and it is considered a good asset management response to seal these sites based on the economics and dust risk score. FNDC was able to manage this as a fiscally neutral transaction within the 3 year NLTP and hence no 'new approval' for NLTP funding was required.

Notes:

- 1. This approval is only for the works in the 17-18 year.
- 2. Any further works in the 2018-21 NLTP will be assessed on their merits.

## Summary of assessment of the Business Case

The supporting Business case was well developed and used the methodology in General Circular 16/04 appropriately to determine the prioritisation. FNDC had undertaken a reasonable extensive options analysis building off their previous experiences in trialling dust mitigation measures.

The business case (for the current request) observations:

- It fits within a district wide assessment of logging routes using GC 16/04. This was a robust process.
- From this developing the appropriate intervention strategy. i.e. Dust suppression for remainder of logging cycle, house frontage seals, no action.
- It is important to show that the whole network has been assessed and the RCA is addressing the highest priority and demonstrating the RCA's strategy for lower priority sections.
- Proving most economical solution based on options.

Location	Preferred Option	Treatment Length	Treatment Cost	PV Costs	NPV Cost of Sealing Option			
Ngapipito Road Site 1	Sealing	2.30km	\$600,000	\$875,684	+\$657,987			
Ngapipito Road Site 2	Sealing	4.11km	\$1,050,000	\$1,548,556	+\$1,192,054			
Pipiwai Road Site 1	Sealing	1.29km	\$450,000	\$691,152	+\$188,865			
Pipiwai Road Site 2	Sealing	2.40km	\$450,000	\$921,887	+\$715,354			
TOTAL		10.1km	\$2,550,000					

The proposed priority sites are:

Sites to be delivered in the current approved scope are Ngapito Road Site 2 and Pipiwai Site 2

Our assessment of the proposal noted:

- 1. Ngapipito Rd and Pipiwai Rd are the highest priority for dust mitigation in the Far North.
- 2. Analysis has been carried out in line with General Circular 16/04 and RR590 (the FNDC should be contacted for copies of the business case)
- 3. The dust risk has been assessed as Medium, using the risk factors and scores from GC16/04. Monitoring carried out on site has allowed a comparison with Mataraua Rd, classified as a High risk in RR590. Results from the monitoring of Ngapipito Rd are generally worse than those measured at Mataraua Rd, although a smaller sample size was used. RR590 suggests that a High risk rating then be used. For Pipiwai Rd, remaining at a medium risk rating is appropriate, and RR590 recommends that in this case the high dust risk analysis is used. .
- 4. RR590 suggests that two possible treatments are viable, given the traffic volumes (particularly HCV) on the road. The options are sealing or application of magnesium chloride suppressant.

- 5. A number of other options were investigated, including grading, watercarting, wet roll and grade treatment, and use of a mixed in cement option. These options were found to be likely to be either ineffective or more expensive than either sealing or magnesium chloride suppressant application.
- 6. The option of sealing has a lower PV of costs than the option of using suppressant. This is largely due to the ongoing nature of the logging activity, and other HCVs such as milk tankers etc. leading to the need for annual application and refreshing of suppressant for an extended period.
- 7. The selection and costing of dust mitigation surface treatment is separate from pavement strengthening to cater for higher logging traffic. We did however question whether these decisions in fact independent, and does the choice of one affect the viability and delivery of the other?

We noted several factors that should be taken into account in the final decision making regards scope of works undertaken:

When considering sealing FNDC should look at the appropriate levels of service for a sealed road of the relevant classification. In many cases there is a need to deal with geometric pavement and safety audit elements BEFORE sealing. If these other elements are necessary then FNDC should consider the balance between maintenance least cost whole of life (LCWOL) and improvements. Note Low cost low risk improvements funding may be applied subject to the relevant LCLR criteria being met.

If the road is upgraded it should be to an appropriate sealed road standard for a high proportion of HCVs based on the indicative traffic mix; in doing so there should be consideration given to its fitness as an HPMV route. Note this should be in the context of the roads roles in the network. (We noted there are several bridges along the route so these will need to be considered too).





Northland Reg	isatio	ation																										
Indicative Forestry Road Dust Prioritisation - Using NZTA C						al Circular	r 16/04 N	latrix Ass	sessment	t - 2017																		
Road	Maintena nce Area	Route	Position End	Length	Houses within 80m of Road	Houses/k m	Other	Traffic Volume	HCV%	(laden 1	2017/18	Volume of HCV/day	LDV	Logging Route	Estimate d Years of Logging	AADT of HCV (use / 7 day	Speed of HCVs (est)	5 day of AADT of LDV (use 7 day	Speed of LDVs (Est)	Houses/k m (use all houses)	Schools Marae etc/km	/ Ecologic al Areas/km	Horicultu ral areas/km	Location of Roadway (plains/c	Frequen cy of Rain days	Longevit y of HCV route	Overall Score	Note
																												Through route: Dairy, Stock t School Bus.
Ngapipito Road (Site 2)	South	8,834	13,067	4,233	7	2	1	220	34%	8	0	75	145	Y	10+	5	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	2	2	2	20	Twin Coat Cyc Through route: Dairy, Stock t
Pipiwai Road (Site 2)	South	9,740	13,073	2,400	) 5	2		160	36%	49	20	69	91	Y	10+	5	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	2	2	2	18	School Bus Through route: Dairy, Stock t
Ngapipito Road (Site 1)	South	1,300	3,600	2,300	4	2	0	133	15%	0	0	20	113	Y	10+	3	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	17	School Bus Through route Dairy, Stock t
Diggers Valley Road	South	4,250	9,050 3,300	4,800	) 7	1		100	32% 11%	26 0	13 0	39 17	61 133	Y	10+ 5-7	3	2	1	1 2	1	0	0	0	2	2	2	16 16	Milk tanker ro Through route
Matawaia-Maromaku Road	South	0	6,400	6,400	10	2		170	15%	3	0	26	144	Y	3-5	4	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	16	Milk tanker rol Through route. Milk tanker rol
Matawaia-Maromaku Road	South	16,040	19,034	2,994	5	2		170	15%	13	13	26	144	Y	3-5	4	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	16	Through route Milk tanker ro
Waoku Road	North	0	3,000	3,000	5	2		30	10%	21	10	31	-1	Y	3-5	4	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	16	end forestry ro
McCardle Road		3,057	4,195	1,138	3	3		155				90		Y		5	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	16	
Wright Road		0	7,738	7,738	4	1		155				90		Y		5	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	16	
Kellys Bay Road		6,011	6,467	456	6 4	9		80				7		Y		2	2	0	2	4	0	1	0	1	2	2	16	
Pouto Road		42,506	65,814	23,308	16	1		207	440/	40	40	64		Y		5	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	16	School bus ro
Haruru Falls Road	North	1.460	2,440	980	0 1	1		101	6%	10	22	32	147	Y	10+	4	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	15	Through route route and sch route

	Indicative Strategy (Net yet approved by Council). Subject to meeting
20	General Circular 16/04 criteria
Logging,	
rucks,	
Adjacent to	
cle Trail	House frontage seals (combined into continuous lengths where sensible)
: Logging,	
rucks,	
	House frontage seals (combined into continuous lengths where sensible)
: Logging,	
rucks,	
	House irontage seals (combined into continuous lengths where sensible)
rucks	
luono,	House frontage seals (combined into continuous lengths where sensible)
ute.	
	House frontage seals
ute.	
	Dust suppression for remainder of logging cycle
ute.	
	Dust suppression for remainder of logging cycle
ute. Dead	
bad	Dust suppression for remainder of logging cycle
	House frontage seals (combined into continuous lengths where sensible)
	House frontage seals (combined into continuous lengths where sensible)
	No strategy identified
	No strategy identified
ute.	
	House frontage seals
. Tourist	
OOI DUS	
	House frontage seals